

Address: 20 Hartigan St, Thurgoona 2640 PO Box 110, Lavington 2641 Email: admin@ourparish.au Web: www.thurgoonacatholicparish.com

Ph: 02 6088 6222 Parish Priest: Fr Anthony Dunne Acolyte: Denis Golden

Presbytery Account (First Collection) BSB 066-789 Acc. 100 005 587 Parish Account (Second Collection) BSB 066-789 Acc. 100 003 738

#### **MASS TIMES**

Saturday (Vigil) - 6pm Sunday 9:30am Monday 8am Tuesday 10am Wednesday 8:00am Thursday 5:30pm Mass Holy Hour 4:30pm - As usual Friday 8am

Saturday 8am

Anointing of the sick Mass, 10am 2nd Tues of Feb, Apr, Jun, Aug, Oct, Dec.

#### Reconciliation

Thurs during Holy Hour 4:45 - 5:15pm Sat 5:30 to 5:55pm before Vigil Sun 9 to 9.25am

Or anytime, just ask Fr

**Baptism and Marriage** By appointment **Working Bee** Sat 9.00am to 11am

# 3rd SUNDAY IN ORDINARY TIME - YEAR A 22/01/2023

## First reading Isaiah 8:23-9:3

In days past the Lord humbled the land of Zebulun and the land of Naphtali, but in days to come he will confer glory on the Way of the Sea on the far side of Jordan, province of the nations. The people that walked in darkness has seen a great light; on those who live in a land of deep shadow a light has shone. You have made their gladness greater, you have made their joy increase; they rejoice in your presence as men rejoice at harvest time, as men are happy when they are dividing the spoils. For the yoke that was weighing on him, the bar across his shoulders, the rod of his oppressor – these you break as on the day of Midian.

#### **Responsorial Psalm**

The Lord is my light and my salvation.

## **Second reading**

#### 1 Corinthians 1:10-13,17

Psalm 26(27):1,4,13-14

I appeal to you, brothers, for the sake of our Lord Jesus Christ, to make up the differences between you, and instead of disagreeing among yourselves, to be united again in your belief and practice. From what Chloe's people have been telling me, my dear brothers, it is clear that there are serious differences among

you. What I mean are all these slogans that you have, like: 'I am for Paul', 'I am for Apollos', 'I am for Cephas', 'I am for Christ.' Has Christ been parcelled out? Was it Paul that was crucified for you? Were you baptised in the name of Paul? For Christ did not send me to baptise, but to preach the Good News, and not to preach that in the terms of philosophy in which the crucifixion of Christ cannot be expressed.

## **Gospel Acclamation**

Matthew 4:23

Alleluia, alleluia! Jesus preached the Good News of the kingdom and healed all who were sick. Alleluia!

Gospel Matthew 4:12-23

Hearing that John had been arrested, Jesus went back to Galilee, and leaving Nazareth he went and settled in Capernaum, a lakeside town on the borders of Zebulun and Naphtali. In this way the prophecy of Isaiah was to be fulfilled:

'Land of Zebulun! Land of Naphtali! Way of the sea on the far side of Jordan, Galilee of the nations! The people that lived in darkness has seen a great light; on those who dwell in the land and shadow of death a light has dawned.'

From that moment Jesus began his preaching with the message, 'Repent, for the kingdom of heaven is close at hand.' As he was walking by the Sea of Galilee he saw two brothers, Simon, who was called Peter, and his brother Andrew; they were making a cast in the lake with their net, for they were fishermen. And he said to them, 'Follow me and I will make you fishers of men.' And they left their nets at once and followed him. Going on from there he saw another pair of brothers, James son of Zebedee and his brother John; they were in their boat with their father Zebedee, mending their nets, and he called them. At once, leaving the boat and their father, they followed him. He went round the whole of Galilee teaching in their synagogues, proclaiming the Good News of the kingdom and curing all kinds of diseases and sickness among the people.

\*\*Universalis.com\*\*

### **Prayers of the Faithfull:**

**For our sick,** Marie Fowles, Fr Reece Beltrame, Peter Tydeman, Ray Byrnes, Graeme Murphy, Greg Baker, Gwen Abikhair and Jackson Davis.

**For our recently departed,** John Fagan, Cardinal George Pell, June Cox, Pope Emeritus Benedict XVI and those who's anniversaries occur at this time.

# **Communion Antiphon**

Jn 8: 12

I am the light of the world, says the Lord; whoever follows me will not walk in darkness, but will have the light of life. *universalis.com* 

**ANNIVERSARIES:** Shirley Bevan, Terry Barsby, Aaron Chambers, Beryl Ahern, Joan Letchford, John Corby, Pushpa Vijay, Eileen Moran, Fr Jim Esler, Ron Chambers & Terrance Charles Benson.

#### PARISH NOTICES

## **Church Cleaning Roster:**

If you are able to help with cleaning the church on Saturday mornings please record your name on the sheet in the foyer. The more names we have on the roster the longer will be interval between each person's turn. Once complete the roster will be emailed and copies made available in the church fover.

**Readers:** Sat: 6:00pm Mary Seidel Sun: 9:30am Stefany George Next week: Sat: 6:00pm Denis Golden Sun: 9:30am Simon Horsfall

Cleaning Roster: Sat 28th Jan Maria Sparshott



### MEDIA PILE-ON FUELLED BY FLAWED COMMISSION

**GERARD HENDERSON** The Australian, 14 January 2023

It was a morning in mid-July when I last had an in-person conversation with Cardinal George Pell. We both addressed a conference of Catholic priests in suburban Sydney. His topic was The Church and its future – mine, The Pell case and the media. I spoke about the huge media pile-on against Pell which was led

initially by the ABC and the (then) Fairfax Limited newspapers *The* Age and The Sydney Morning Herald. They were later joined by Channel 9, The Guardian Australia, Channel 10 and The New



Daily – and more besides. Not every media outlet was unremittingly hostile to Pell – but most were. This commenced when Pell was appointed archbishop of Melbourne in 1996, continued when he took up a similar role in Sydney in 2001 and ramped up when Pell became the Vatican's Prefect of Secretariat for the Economy (effectively the Holy See's treasurer). The media's criticism of Australia's most senior Catholic was fuelled by reports from the Royal Commission into Institutional Response to Child Sexual Abuse headed by former NSW Supreme Court justice Peter McClellan KC. Its operations ran from January 2013 to December 2017.

The royal commission was established to report on the responses of all Australian institutions into child sexual abuse. It turned out, however, that its focus was on Christian institutions - in particular the Catholic and Anglican churches. For example, in Tasmania, McClellan and his fellow commissioners conducted two case studies. The royal commission examined the Hutchins School (which has a connection with the Anglican Church) and the Church of England Boys' Society. In recent years, the Tasmanian government has established commissions of inquiry into government schools, a hospital and a youth detention centre, which were places of historical child sexual abuse. All of which were overlooked by the royal commission. Paul Collins, the former Catholic priest and one-time ABC presenter, was no ally of Pell. However, in December 2017 he wrote in Pearls & Irritations that "the royal commission focused unduly on Catholicism". The royal commission devoted most of its attention to the Catholic Church – and Pell was in the witness box a total of around 35 hours. On occasions, he was treated with evident hostility. It would have been difficult for Pell to receive a fair trial (which later eventuated) in view of the media pile-on in evidence since 1996. But this became all but impossible due to the media coverage of the royal commission. In the event, in June 2017

Victoria Police charged Pell with 26 historical child abuse charges ranging from the mid-1970s in Ballarat to the mid-1990s in Melbourne. Graham Ashton, then Victoria Police's chief commissioner, referred on radio to Pell's "victims" even before he had been charged, much less convicted. Ashton simply accepted the allegations against Pell run by journalist Louise Milligan on the ABC TV program 7.30 on July 27, 2016. This came as no surprise since Victoria Police had set up a task force investigating Pell even before any complaint had been made against him.

In time, none of the charges prevailed. But Ashton went on the media again to say that Victoria Police had done a good job. It was like a coach of one of the rugby codes claiming victory after a 26 to zero points loss. Only five out of the 26 charges made it to trial. A jury in the first trial could not reach a verdict. The second jury convicted Pell of all five charges – despite the fact that one of the charges had never been investigated by Victoria Police. The Victorian Court of Appeal upheld the jury verdict by a two-to-one majority. But the dissent by Justice Mark Weinberg was devastating in content. In time, the High Court of Australia, in a unanimous decision, quashed all charges and Pell was released, having served 405 days in a maximum-security prison. The hearings demonstrated that the Victorian Director of Public Prosecutions could not demonstrate how the alleged offences could have taken place. It soon became clear that some journalists who led the media pile-on against Pell did not accept the High Court decision. This is evident in the comments of Milligan (ABC), Lucie Morris-Marr (The New Daily) and Melissa Davey (The Guardian Australia). David Marr (then writing for The Guardian) did accept the decision while remaining a Pell critic. However, the media pile-on against Pell continued. He could no longer be plausibly depicted as a pedophile.

But Pell's critics now focused on the royal commission's findings that Pell had been remiss in handling child sexual abuse when he was a priest, a bishop and an archbishop in Ballarat, Melbourne and Sydney respectively. They relied on the royal commission's report. In Chapter nine of my book *Cardinal Pell, the Media Pile-On & Collective Guilt* (Connor Court, 2021), I document that the royal commission's report with respect to Pell contains errors and a number of serious inconsistencies. Moreover, it did not produce any oral or documentary evidence in making its hostile findings against Pell. Instead, the royal commission found that Pell's evidence was, variously, "inconceivable", "implausible", "not tenable", "unlikely" and so on. These were opinions only, unsupported by evidence. No one has challenged any of

my criticisms of the royal commission's findings with respect to Pell. Likewise, none of the Pell antagonists criticised by me have identified any errors in my book. Pell's critics prefer to ignore or downplay the High Court's unanimous decision and run with the royal commission's findings, concerning which Pell had no right of appeal or even an opportunity to respond in the body of the report. Royal commissions are not courts of law. In my speech in Sydney, I made the point that, despite spending a year in jail, Pell needed a unanimous High Court judgment to minimise the campaign against him and totally discredit the falsehood that he was a pedophile. When we met at lunch, I said to Pell that I hoped he was not upset at my comment.. He replied that he had thought about this himself. Pell added that, without time in effective solitary confinement, he would never have written his three-volume *Prison Journal* (Ignatius Press). It's a fine piece of writing which contains a strong spiritual message about how to prevail against injustice without surrendering hope.